

John H. Scott, SBN 72578
Lizabeth N. de Vries, SBN 227215
SCOTT LAW FIRM
1388 Sutter Street, Suite 715
San Francisco, California 94109
Telephone: (415) 561-9601
Facsimile: (415) 561-9609
john@scottlawfirm.net
liza@scottlawfirm.net

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants,
JESSE J. BYRD, MALIK BRITT,
RASHAD CONLEY and ANDREW EMIL ARMSTRONG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JESSE J. BYRD, MALIK BRITT, RASHAD
CONLEY and ANDREW EMIL
ARMSTRONG,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO; SAN FRANCISCO POLICE
DEPARTMENT; ROSELO PASCUA; ALEX
RODATOS; KELVIN SANDERS;
JONATHAN CATLETT; RICHAD SOARES;
WILLIAM ESCOBAR, and DOES 1-20,
inclusive.

Defendants.

) **Case No. C 11-1742 DMR**

)

)

)

) **PLAINTIFFS' MOTION *IN LIMINE***

) **NO. 1**

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs bring the following motion *in limine*:

1. EXCLUDE POLICE REPORTS.

DISCUSSION

Police reports are generally considered to be inadmissible hearsay under Rule 801 and 802. They may be admitted by stipulation or if the Court finds an exception to the hearsay rule. They may also be used, if appropriate , to refresh recollection. The plaintiffs object to the introduction of police reports as evidence in this case unless for impeachment or rebuttal purposes

DATED: February 7, 2013

SCOTT LAW FIRM

By: /s/ John H. Scott
JOHN HOUSTON SCOTT
Attorney for Plaintiffs and
Counter Defendants